

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,533	07/06/2001	William J. Benton	00087C1P	3941
5	7590 01/08/2004		EXAMINER	
Martha Ann Finnegan, Esq.			TUCKER, PHILIP C	
Cabot Corporation Billerica Technical Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
157 Concord Road			1712	
Billerica, MA 01821-7001			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/900,533	BENTON ET AL.
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit
	Philip C Tucker	1712
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 12/9/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and single of the contract	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date	•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	Brief must be filed within the pe	
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	. ,,,	t the appeal.
<u> </u>		and NOTE Late
 (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note begins in the property of the property) 	·	see NOTE below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in	· ·	rially raduaing or aimplifying tha
issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	• • •	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		-
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	. , _	
		Philip C Tucker Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1712

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants amendment to claim 18 raises issues under 35 USC 112 for claims 19, 20 and those dependent therefrom, since they fail to further limit the scope of claim18. The addition of a pH range to claims 25 and 34 would require futher consideration.

Continuation of 10. Other: Although applicants specification did not specifically state units for the density taught at the 2.4 level, the leve as high as 95% cesium formate would provide a specific gravity of 2.4..